

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: SAKAI 6

ATTY.'S DOCKET: SAKAI 6

APPlication of:

Conf. No. 3454

Examiner:

Washington, D.C.

July 17, 2003

For: COPPER ALLOY SLIDING ...

Attn: PETITIONS

PETITION TO VACATE HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT¹

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 2011 South Clark Place Customer Window, Mail Stop PETITION Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, Virginia 22202

Sir:

Applicant is in receipt of the Notice of Abandonment, mailed May 15, 2003², which **erroneously** states that the application is abandoned because of applicant's alleged failure to file a response within the time period established by the Notice of Incomplete Reply mailed July 18, 2001.

It is respectfully requested that such Notice of

Abandonment be vacated as being erroneous and that the present
application be reinstated.

¹ If a fee must be charged, please charge same to Deposit Account No. 02-4035, and then refund said fee as the holding of abandonment is erroneous and is entirely the fault of the PTO.

² Since receiving this Notice, several attempts have been made to contact the PTO by telephone regarding said Notice. However, as of today's date no oral reply from the PTO personnel called has been received.

THE FACTS

The complete utility application (specification, claims, drawings, fee and declaration/power of attorney) was filed on December 28, 2000.

On February 20, 2001, the undersigned received a Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application, dated February 12, 2001. Said Notice indicated that the oath or declaration was missing.

In response to said Notice, the undersigned filed a Request to Vacate Re Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application, on April 12, 2001. The attachments to said Request were a copy of the declaration/power of attorney as originally filed and the date stamped receipt postcard from the original filing of December 28, 2000.

In response to said Request, the undersigned received a Notice of Incomplete Reply (Nonprovisional), dated July 18, 2001, which once again indicated that the oath or declaration was missing.

Applicant then timely and properly responded within the time period established by the Notice mailed July 18, 2001, by filing a Request to Vacate Notice of Incomplete Reply (Non Provisional) on August 13, 2001. Said Request of August 13, 2001, included copies of (1) the previously filed Request to

Vacate Re Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application filed on April 12, 2001, (2) the originally filed declaration/power of attorney, (3) a photocopy of the postcard receipt of the original filing of December 28, 2000, indicating that the declaration/power of attorney was attached and received by the PTO, and (4) a photocopy of the receipt postcard dated April 12, 2001.

It has recently come to the attention of the undersigned that both the April 12, 2001, and August 13, 2001, Requests contained a clerical error in the serial number of the application (the first page of each document incorrectly identified the application as 09/749,701). However, all other references to the present application within the Requests, including (1) the copies of the PTO documents, (2) the additional pages of the request and (3) the return postcard for the Request of April 12, 2001, correctly identify the present application³.

As evidence that such Requests were timely and properly filed on April 12, 2001, and August 13, 2001, attached hereto are xerographic copies of the return postcards date-stamped by the PTO Mail Room as having been timely received by the PTO on April 12, 2001, and August 13, 2001. However, the receipt

³ It is respectfully noted that even with this error the PTO was able to match the original April 12, 2001, Request with the correct file and subsequently issue the Notice of Incomplete Reply on July 18, 2001, see MPEP 502 and 508, part II.

In re Appln. No. 09/747,925

postcard for the August 13, 2001, submission also incorrectly identifies the application number as 09/749,701. Also attached are freshly re-signed duplicate copies of the documents of April 12, 2001, and August 13, 2001, with related papers.

REMARKS

In view of the above evidence, it is clear that the Requests to Vacate were timely and properly filed within the time periods established by the Notices and that the Notice of Abandonment has been issued in error. Indeed, the postcards by themselves should be sufficient, as MPEP Section 503 states:

A postcard receipt which itemizes and properly identifies the papers which are being filed serves as prima facie evidence of receipt of the PTO of all items listed there on the date stamped thereon by the PTO.

It is accordingly requested that the Notice of Abandonment be vacated and the present application be reinstated.

It is also respectfully requested that the PTO withdraw (vacate) the two erroneous Notices dated February 12, 2001, and July 18, 2001, and forward the application for processing and examination.

As it is believed that the original Notices were issued erroneously (the declaration having been filed with the

In re Appln. No. 09/747,925

application), which in turn caused the Notice of Abandonment to be issued, the undersigned believes that there are no fees to be paid at this time.

However, in the event that fees are required, please charge them to the deposit account of the undersigned (DA 02-4035).

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant

By

Sheridan Neimark

Registration No. 20,520

SN:edg

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\A\ASAM\Sakai6\pto\patent erroneous abandonment.doc



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademic Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND RADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignins 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER

FILING OR 371(C) DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE

09/747,925

12/27/2000

Kenji Sakai

SAKAI6

001444 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303



CONFIRMATION NO. 3454
ABANDONMENT/TERMINATION
LETTER
OC00000010043541

Date Mailed: 05/15/2003

NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.53 (f) OR (g)

The above-identified application is abandoned for failure to timely or properly reply to the Notice to File Missing Parts (Notice) mailed on 07/18/2001.

· No reply was received.

A petition to the Commissioner under 37 CFR 1.137 may be filed requesting that the application be revived.

Under 37 CFR 1.137(a), a petition requesting the application be revived on the grounds of **UNAVOIDABLE DELAY** must be filed promptly after the applicant becomes aware of the abandonment and such petition must be accompanied by: (1) an adequate showing of the cause of unavoidable delay; (2) the required reply to the above-identified Notice; (3) the petition fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(I); and (4) a terminal disclaimer if required by 37 CFR 1.137(d).

Under 37 CFR 1.137(b), a petition requesting the application be revived on the grounds of **UNINTENTIONAL DELAY** must be filed promptly after applicant becomes aware of the abandonment and such petition must be accompanied by: (1) a statement that the entire delay was unintentional; (2) the required reply to the above-identified Notice; (3) the petition fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and (4) a terminal disclaimer if required by 37 CFR 1.137(d).

Any questions concerning petitions to revive should be directed to "Office of Petitions" at (703) 305-9282.

A copy of this notice <u>MUST</u> be returned with the reply.

Customer Service Center

Initial Patent Examination Division (703) 308-1202

PART 2 - COPY TO BE RETURNED WITH RESPONSE

ALC TOO



GROUP 1700

RECEIVED
TO 1700

FILED: Deember 27, 2000	DOGKET-NO.: _ UALAI.6
APPLICANT(S): <u>Lenji</u> VAKAI, et al.	[PARENT CASE:
THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE STAMP HEREON ACKNOWLEDGES RECEIPT OF THE ABOVE-IDENTIFIED APPLICATION, INCLUDING THE FOLLOWING PAPERS: FEES \$ 710.00 (CH. # 2038) NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL LETTER ORIGINAL NON-PROVISIONAL UTILITY CONTINUATION-IN-PART (37 CFR §1.53(b)) CONTINUATION (37 CFR §1.53(b))	DEC 2 7 2000 MA
☐ DESIGN ☐ PLANT ☐ PROVISIONAL	☐ INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (pages) ☐ FORM 1449 (pages)
SPECIFICATION AND CLAIMS (25 pages)	PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT
DRAWINGS (SUPPLEMENTAL PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT
☐ SEQUENCE LISTING ☐ WITH DISK	OTHER AMENDMENT PRIORITY DOCUMENT(S) NO. 2000-053 999
SMALL ENTITY STATEMENT(S) (pages)	OTHER



RECEIVED
TO 1700

GROUP 1700

APPLICANT(S): SAKAI (ASAM) APPLICATION NO: 09 747, 925	DOCKET NO.: SAKAI 6 CONF. NO: 8454
THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE STAMP HEREON ACKNOWLEDGES RECEIPT OF THE FOLLOWING PAPERS:	RE JOGO
FEES \$ (CH. # MONTHS) TRANSMITTAL LETTER	APR 1 2 7001 B
☐ MISSING PARTS RESPONSE WITH DECL ☐ AMENDMENT ☐ PRELIMINARY ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL ☐ REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION	☐ ASSIGNMENT ☐ INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT ☐ FORM 1449 & PATENTS/PUBS ☐ PRIORITY DOCUMENT(S) NO
☐ RESTRICTION/ELECTION REPLY ☐ SEQUENCE LISTING ☐ WITH DISK ☐ RCE / CPA TRANSMITTAL (circle one) ☐ NOTICE OF APPEAL ☐ APPEAL BRIEF (TRIPLICATE)	☐ DECLARATION UNDER § ☐ LETTER TO DRAFTSMAN ☐ SHEETS OF DRAWINGS ☐ ISSUE FEE TRANSMITTAL FORM ☐ MAINTENANCE FEE LETTER
THE REPLY BRIEF (TRIPLICATE) TO OTHER RIGHT OF VALUE NOTICE IN THE B&N-2	Missing Parts, copy of de a

.ब	195
A C	674
3A12	<u>o</u> 04
PPLICANT(S):	PPLICATION N
AP	ΑЫ

THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE STAMP HEREON ACKNOWLEDGES RECEIPT OF THE FOLLOWING PAPERS:

PTO FORM 2038 (CH. #
TESS &

DOCKET NO.:

	JU GRO	RECEIVE	101
AUG - 3 2001 PLY	□ ASSIGNIMENTRADENT □ INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT □ FORM 1449 & PATENTS/PUBS. □ PRIORITY DOCUMENT(S) NO.	DECLARATION UNDER \$ LETTER TO DRAFTSMAN SUE FEE TRANSMITTAL FORM MAINTENANCE FEE LETTER CAN CAN	CHANGE STREET AND THE CONTROL

TO TOO STATE OF TO